# "STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE OFFLINE LEARNING PROCESS ON SPEAKING CLASSES IN POST-COVID-19 SITUATION"

### SARJANA'S THESIS

### TANIA ANGGELINA

**REG.NUMBER:1810117120017** 



# PROGRAM FACULTY OF TEACHERS' TRAINING AND EDUCATION LAMBUNG MANGKURAT UNIVERSITY

### LETTER OF APPROVAL

This is to certify that the *Thesis Proposal* entitled "Students' Perception of the Online Learning Process on Speaking Class during the Covid-19 Situation" has been approved by the thesis advisors for proposal seminar.

Banjarmasin,

Andvisor I

Dr. Cayandrawati Sutiono, M.A.

NIP. 196105081986032003

Banjarmasin,

Advisor II

Dini Noor Arini, M.Pd.

NIP. 198812272014042001

Approved by:

dinaton of English Language Education Study Program,

77445 200 M22002

iii

### LETTER OF APPROVAL

This is to certify the Sarjana's Thesis of Tania Anggelina, Reg. Number 1810117120017 entitled "Students' Perceptions of Offline Learning Process on Speaking Classes in Post-Covid-19 Situation" has been approved by the Board of Examiners as the requirement for completing Sarjana Pendidikan Program in English Language Teaching.

Banjarmasin, January 16, 2024

Chair Person,

Dr. Cayandrawati Sutiono, M.A

NIP. 196105081986032003

Member,

Dr. Hj. Noor Eka Chandra, M.Pd NIP. 197710232001122003

Member,

Raisa Fadilla, S.Pd., M.Pd NIP. 199208202018032001

Approved by, Coordinator of

English Language Education Study Program,

D/ Mohammad/Yamin, M.Pd. MP: 198007167010121003

### **ABSTRACT**

Anggelina, Tania. 2022 Students' Perceptions of the Offline Learning Process on Speaking Classes in Post-Covid-19 Situation. Sarjana's Thesis. English Language Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Lambung Mangkurat University, Banjarmasin The first advisor Dr. *Cayandrawati* Sutiono, M.A., the second advisor Dr.Hj. Noor Eka Chandra, M.Pd.

Keywords: Speaking, offline learning, perception, post-COVID-19 pandemic.

During the early pandemic, students were unexpected to conduct learning emergencies. Students were struggling to cope with the challenges of the online learning situation. After two years of pandemic situations, the learning activities began to open face-to-face. This study investigates students' perceptions of offline learning in speaking classes at the English Language Education Study Program Batch 2020 who took the speaking class in the post-COVID-19 pandemic. This study used descriptive quantitative research. This research used a total sampling technique with 80 English Language Education Study Program batch 2020 students. The instruments used in this study were questionnaires and interviews. The questionnaires consist of closed-ended questions using a Likert scale, and the questions given in the interviews were open-ended.

The study found that students' perceptions were discovered in the three aspects of perception. First, the percentage of cognitive aspects is 64.33%. The result perception in the cognitive aspect is positive; the lessons in the real classroom helped students to understand more about materials because the lecturer delivered them in detail and helped students practice their speaking in the real classroom. Second, the percentage of the affective aspect is 70.2%. The result perception in the affective aspect is positive; students indicated they felt more comfortable, enjoyed, present, and motivated to speak English because the environment supported them in expressing their ideas, opinions, and suggestions. Third, the percentage of the conative aspect is 64.28%. The result perception in the conative aspect is positive; students felt more confident, often interacted with lecturers, and actively participated in offline speaking classes.

As a suggestion, in the cognitive aspect, students could overcome challenges to solve problems. Next, the affective aspect is that the lecturer should provide a positive environment in offline speaking classes so students never feel inadequate when practicing their speaking. Last, the conative aspect is that lecturers need to convince their students that making mistakes is a part of the learning process. So, they would feel confident speaking English in discussions and interacting with students and lecturers.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Shalom,

The writer extends special thanks to God for the blessing and grace the writer, she could finally complete the process of writing this Sarjana's thesis—also, the humblest gratitude to Jesus Christ, whose way of life has continuously guided researchers. The thesis is "Students' Perceptions of the Offline Learning Process on Speaking Classes in Post-Covid-19 Situation." This Sarjana's thesis was only achieved with various parties' help, guidance, support, encouragement, motivation, and advice. Hence, the writer would like to express her deepest gratitude and most profound appreciation to:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Alim Bachri, S.E., M.Si as Rector of Lambung Mangkurat University.
- 2. Prof. Dr. Sunarno Basuki, Drs., M.Kes., AIFO as the dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education who has provided an opportunity for the research to obtain formal education at ULM therefore this research can be carried our properly.
- 3. Dr. Mohammad Yamin, M.Pd., as the Head of the English Language Education Program FKIP ULM, who has given permission so that this research can be carried out.
- 4. Dr. Cayandrawati Sutiono, M.A., as the first advisor, for giving her guidance and assistance in writing the thesis, her patience in dealing with the writer, her kindness in making the thesis easier, and her encouragement in motivating the writer to work harder in finishing the thesis, since the beginning until this thesis complete.

5. Dr. Hj. Noor Eka Chandra, M.Pd., as the second advisor, for giving outstanding contributions of guiding, assisting, correcting, suggesting, and motivating the writer in writing this thesis correctly and adequately.

6. Raisa Fadila, M.Pd., as the examiner who gave valuable corrections, advice, and suggestions for this Sarjana's thesis.

7. Eka Puteri Elyani, M.Pd, the writer's lecturer, was willing to take her time invalidating this thesis's instruments.

8. Bambang Arianto, S.Pd., (Mas Bambang), as ELESP Laboratory Assistant. Thank you very much to Mas Bambang.

9. Galih Rizky Khairul Ulum, S.Pd., (Mas Galih), as Admin, Writer, Web designer, Developer, Graphic Design, Video Editor, Photographer, Videographer of ELESP. Thank you very much Mas Galih.

10. All of the lecturers and Lambung Mangkurat University for the knowledge, guidance, and assistance they gave the author.

11. The students of the English Language Education Study Program of FKIP Lambung Mangkurat University batch 2018 & 2020 for their help in participating in this research,

12. My parents, my sisters, and my brother in law for always praying for me success, supporting the writer's finances, for their love, motivation, patience, coffee, and understanding in dealing with the writer.

May God, the Almighty, bless them all. Finally, the searcher realized that this Sarjana's thesis needed to be revised. Therefore, it is a pleasure to receive suggestions and criticisms from everyone for better writing.

Banjarmasin, October 2023

The writer

Tania Anggelina

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | ABSTRACT                       | iii  |
|---|--------------------------------|------|
|   | ACKNOWLEGDEMENT                | iv   |
|   | TABLE OF CONTENT               | v    |
|   | LIST OF TABLES                 | vi   |
|   | LIST OF FIGURES                | vii  |
|   | LIST OF APPENDICES             | viii |
|   | CHAPTER I                      | 1    |
|   | INTRODUCTION                   | 1    |
|   | 1.1 Background of study        | 1    |
|   | 1.2 Statement of study         | 3    |
|   | 1.3 Purpose of study           | 3    |
|   | 1.4 Scope and Limitation       | 3    |
|   | 1.5 Significances of the study | 4    |
|   | 1.6 Definition of Key Terms    | 5    |
| ( | CHAPTER II                     | 6    |
| F | REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE   | 6    |
|   | 2.1 Perception                 |      |
|   | 2.1.1 Definition of Perception | 6    |
|   | 2.1.2 Types of Perceptions     | 8    |
|   |                                |      |
|   | 2.1.3 Factors of Perceptions   | 9    |

| 2.1.4 Process of Perceptions                 | 10 |  |
|--|----|--|
| 2.1.5 Component of Perceptions               | 14 |  |
| 2.2 Speaking                                 | 16 |  |
| 2.2.1 The Definition of Speaking             | 16 |  |
| 2.2.2 The Components of Speaking             | 18 |  |
| 2.2.3 The Function of Speaking               | 19 |  |
| 2.3 Previous Study                           | 20 |  |
| CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD                  |    |  |
| 3.1 Approach and Type of Research            | 22 |  |
| 3.2 Setting of the Research                  | 23 |  |
| 3.3 Population and Sample                    | 23 |  |
| 3.4 Instrumentation of The Research          | 24 |  |
| 3.5 Validity and Reliability                 | 28 |  |
| 3.6 Technique of Collecting Data             | 30 |  |
| 3.7 Technique of Data Analysis               | 31 |  |
| CHAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS |    |  |
| 4.1 Description of the Data                  | 34 |  |
| 4.2 Research Findings                        | 35 |  |
| 4.3 Discussion of Research Findings          | 53 |  |
| CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS         | 57 |  |
| 5.1 Conclusions                              | 57 |  |
|  |    |  |
| 5.2 Suggestions                              | 58 |  |

| REFERENCES | 60 |
|------------|----|
| APPENDICES | 66 |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table 3.1 The Likert Scale           | 25 |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| Table 3.2 Blueprint of Questionnaire | 26 |
| Table 3.3 Blueprint of Interview     | 28 |
| Table 3.4 Reliability Statistics     | 30 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figures 1 Cognitive Aspect | 36 |
|----------------------------|----|
| Figure 2 Affective Aspect  | 40 |
| Figure 3 Conative Aspect   | 46 |

### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Students Questionnaire 66

Appendix 2: Interview Questions 68

Appendix 3: Result of Students' Questionnaire Through G60gle Form

Appendix 4: Questionnaire Result from Three Dimension offlthe Research

Appendix 5 : Transcript of Interview 75

Appendix 6: Validation Sheet of Questionnaire 84

Appendix 7 : Validation Sheet of Interv